



International Urban Development Association
Association internationale du développement urbain



Ana Carla Fonseca Reis,
Garimpo de Soluções, Brazil
Bert J Lim, President &
Professor, The World Economics
Society, Taiwan
Charles Lin, Associate Professor
National Chiao Tung University,
Taiwan
Eric Corijn, Vrije Universiteit,
Brussels, Belgium
Erik Larssen, Member of Board,
Nistad Utbygging, Bergen,
Norway
Evert Verhagen, Project
Manager, Creative Cities,
Amsterdam, The Netherlands
Jean-Michel Evin, Chief
Executive, Echirrolles, France
Joe Montgomery, Dir Gen,
Department Communities and
Local Government, UK
Joseph Tossavi, President,
Decentralization Mission of Benin,
Ministry of Interior, Security and
Decentralization, Benin
K. Severin Nsia, Delegate of
Spatial Planning, Ministry of
Decentralization, Benin
Kyle Alexander, Belfast,
Northern Ireland, United Kingdom
Luiz Bolcato Custodio, Director
of Cultural Heritage, City Council
of Porto Alegre, Vice President
International Council of Museums
Latin America, Brazil
Mart Grisel, Director EUKN, Nicis
Institute, The Netherlands
Peter Matic, Chairman,
Environment, Park &
Sustainability Committee,
Brisbane City Council, Australia
Ren-yih Lin, Deputy Mayor,
Kaohsiung City Government,
Taiwan
Victor Santiago Tabares
Deputy Mayor, Innovation and e-
Government, Cáceres, Spain

Kaohsiung-Taipei 4-8 October 2009

Innovation in governance and partnerships

Innovative governance

Innovation comes from governance, but there are not intrinsic rules for governance. Governance is like architecture: it depends on each type of culture, and this is where we will find innovation.

Innovation is collective intelligence and governance is part of this collective interaction.

Cities are in worldwide competition without national affiliation. Urbanity is not nationality. Thus, this is a new triangular relationship between State, World and City.

How to create innovative governance in this new global relationship?

Cities have to take risk to reach the gap between the innovative services which have to be put in place and what it exists now. In this condition, we need strong local leadership, networks as INTA, EUKN, and information diffusion through education...

There is the unanswered question about the size and the coherence of innovative territories for a good governance.

Could small territories be innovative? Which size of territory is coherent to support a sustainable development which needs new way of governance in a worldwide cities network? How counties can work together to have a common strategic vision of the City?

Which level of leadership: municipalities, counties, regions? How to share the power?

Bogotá: new town Usme, innovative governance project.

Intervention to control illegal construction and ensure governance of the south of the city. They try to apply reforms which existed but which were not used before. Objectives of the project are :

- Use capital gains generated by the project to finance the works of urban planning. Financial challenges, PPP
- Test the institutional capacity of the district: implementation of policies of soil, housing and habitat
- Bet on the participation of resident communities
- Consolidation of the edge rural/urban

Kaohsiung:

With HSR Taiwan becomes Taipei's hinterland.

Kaohsiung needs regional governance to be part of the Taiwanese metropolis. Policy network and partnership are the best form of collaboration for KKP (Kaohsiung City, County and Pingtung County): spatial partnership. The goal is to create a bigger regional niche by interaction and collaboration mechanism.

Brisbane:

Brisbane is the biggest city in Australia. The new mayor did his campaign on infrastructures and roads, but after his election he did a citizen consultation about the change of the city. He gathered 1500 inhabitants for a brainstorming to establish a vision for Brisbane 2026. They created a charter of principles about what innovative city Brisbane's inhabitants want.